#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

W/PO 22/9

PCT

To:
HILL & SCHUMACHER
87 Falcon Street
TORONTO, Ontario
Canada, M4S 2P4

**PCT** 

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATION OF THE AUGUSTANCE AU

Wife dule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

28 July 2005 (28-07-2005)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 101060P .

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No.

PCT/CA2005/000406

International filing date (day/month/year)
16 March 2005 (16-03-2005)

Priority date (day/month/year) 16 March 2004 (16-03-2004)

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): B01J 29/00, B01J 37/04, B01J 35/02, B01J 20/10, B01J 20/28, B01J 35/10

**Applicant** 

### THE GOVERNING COUNCIL OF THE UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO ET AL

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

[X] Box No. I

Basis of the opinion

Box No. II

**Priority** 

[X] Box No. III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Box No. IV

Lack of unity of invention

[X] Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial

applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Box No. VI

Certain documents cited

[X] Box No. VII

Certain defects in the international application

[X] Box No. VIII

Certain observations on the international application

#### 2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/CA Canadian Intellectual Property Office Place du Portage I, C114 - 1st Floor, Box PCT

27 June 2005 (27-06-2005)

Date of completion of this opinion

Authorized officer

50 Victoria Street

Gatineau, Quebec K1A 0C9 Facsimile No.: 001(819)953-2476 Joseph L. Herdé (819) 997-2945

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

Page 1 of 6

International application No. PCT/CA2005/000406

Bo	N X	lo. I		Basis of this opinion				
1.	W	ith r	ega	ard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	<b>K</b> ]	()	the	international application in the language in which it was filed				
	[	]	a tr	ranslation of the international application into , which is the language of	a			
			trai	nslation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).				
2.	. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:							
	a.	typ	e of	f material				
		[	]	a sequence listing				
		[	]	table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b.	fon	mat	of material .				
•.		[	]	on paper				
		[	]	in electronic form				
	c.	tim	e of	f filing/furnishing				
		[	]	contained in the international application as filed.				
		[	J	filed together with the international application in electronic form				
		E	]	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3	[	]	In a	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has				
•		1	beer appl	n filed or furnished, the required statement that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to the lication as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	at in the			
4.	Ado	ditio	nal	comments:				
		•						
			•	•	•			
•								
	٠				•			
	•							

International application No. PCT/CA2005/000406

Box N	lo. II	I Non-est	tablishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industria	l applicability				
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:								
	[]	the entire international application						
	[X]	claim Nos.	1, 14 to 30 (partly-when they refer to claim 1), 31, 32 and 45 to 61(partly-w	hen they refer to claims 31 or 32.)				
b	ecaus	se:		•				
	[]		national application, or the said claim Nos.  which does not require an international search (specify):	relate to the following				
				•				
	•		-					
,	[X]	the description	n, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claim Nos.	1, 14 to 30(partly), 31, 32 and 45 to 61(partly)				
	٠	are so unclear	that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):	•				
		materials. In fa extent as to rea of the applicat	relate to an extremely large number of possible hybrid materials and methods of act the claims contain so many options that a lack of clarity within the meaning order a meaningful search of these claims impossible. Consequently the search thin which do appear to be supported clearly, namely metaloxide and organomethe examples and the general description.	g of Art. 6 PCT arises to such an has been carried out for those parts				
		•						
	[ ]	the claims, or	said claims Nos.	are so inadequately supported				
		by the descript	tion that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
	[ ]	no internationa	al search report has been established for said claims Nos.	•				
	[]	a meaningful o	opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not	, within the prescribed time limit:				
			sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex ons, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority					
	;		sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for ions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority					
			equired late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response ter.1(a) or (b).	o an invitation under				
	[]	prescribed time	pinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; e limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requires inistrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International able to it.	uirements provided for in Annex C-				
i	]	the tables relate	ed to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form or	nly, do not comply with the				
		technical requir	rements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.					
[	1	See Sunnlemen	ntal Box for further details	1				

International application No. PCT/CA2005/000406

	Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
		4	•	-		
1. Statement			• • •			
Novelty (	N)	Claims	4, 5, 10, 11, 13, 35, 36, 41, 42 and 44	YES		
		Claims	2, 3, 6 to 9, 12, 14 to 30, 33, 34, 37 to 40, 43 and 45 to 61	NO		
Inventive	step (IS)	Claims	4, 5, 10, 11, 13, 35, 36, 41, 42 and 44	YES		
	•	Claims	2, 3, 6 to 9, 12, 14 to 30, 33, 34, 37 to 40, 43 and 45 to 61	NO		
Industrial	applicability (IA)	Claims	1 to 61	YES		
		Claims	none	NO ·		
				•		

#### 2. Citations and explanations:

US 6,248,686 (D1) discloses mesoporous materials that contain one or more organic groups integral to the structure of the mesoporous materials. The materials can be used in catalytic reactions, seperation process and adsorption process. The materials comprise a hybrid composition composed of organic and inorganic materials wherein the organic group is attached to a structure/skeleton by at least 2 metal atoms. The subject matter of claims 2, 3, 6 to 9, 12, 14 to 30, 33, 34, 37 to 40, 43 and 45 to 61 lack novelty according to PCT Article 33(2) and also lack an inventive step according to PCT Article 33(3).

Claims 1 to 61 are industrially applicable according to PCT Article 33(4).

International application No. PCT/CA2005/000406

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application								
	The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:							
Reference to the unpublished US patent application on page 1, line 5 should be removed to satisfy PCT Article 5. Previously filed unpublished applications should not be considered as part of the disclosure, unless the application referred to is made public before the publication date of the international application.								
			•					
				•	•			
•				•		•		
•								
	•	1			r			
			•	•		•		
•					•			
<b>.</b>	•					· .		
•								
·		•			••	•		
		•	,					
			,					
			•			•		
•		•	•					
,								
•		•		•				
						·		
				•				
	•	•						

International application No. PCT/CA2005/000406

### Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

The description and drawings should be consistent with one another according to Rule 11.13 PCT. Regarding the reference on page 12 to Figure 6, the following inconsistencies are found: (I) the "asterisks" mentioned in line 8 are not found in Figure 6; and, (ii) Figure 6(c) is not detailed in the description.

Reference to the name Pluronic P123 on page 15, line 34 should be identified according to Article 5 PCT.

Claims 1 and 31 are not fully supported by the description according to Article 6 PCT. The subject matter of these claims to the broad concept of "a porous framework material" is not justified by the description and drawings, which disclose only "metaloxide and organometaloxide framework material". More specifically only silica examples are disclosed.

Claim 32 attempts to define the invention by a result to be achieved and therefore lacks clarity under Article 6 PCT.

Claim 58 is contrary to Rule 6.4(b) PCT. The subject matter of claim 58 to a process refers to claims 1 to 30 as process claims. Claims 1 to 30 are product claims.